

Name: DANIEL GIDDENS

Feedback provided from:  
An individual

Organisation Name (if providing on behalf of an organisation):

1. Do you think the conceptual design of the Draft Framework works? What would you change?

Yes. I think the profession knowledge domains may need further refinements into sub-domains, although maybe this is the purpose of CPD, as part of active professionalism.

2. Are there any elements that you would add, amend, or remove?

See above.

3. Would a Framework along the lines of the draft Framework be useful to you in your career or workplace? How could you see it being used?

Yes. I think as a person looking to change career direction, it's helpful to see a potential career pathway to help work towards it. For those outside the profession or in allied pathways, it allows the profession to see how current skillsets can be linked into LIS and acknowledge how varied knowledge can be of benefit, underpinned by a LIS foundation.

4. Is LIS professional recognition valuable to you? What changes would you like to see to professional recognition?

Yes. I appreciate my skillset, but I think the profession is losing what makes it valuable to the general population (e.g., you can Google everything, anyone can shelve books, technology can do that), so we need something to show that even though things may be changing, we still have unique and transferrable skills and it takes work and commitment.

5. Any other comments?

Just that is a positive step and the framework has been well-thought-out. For those already in the profession, there will need to be clear guidance, and maintenance of accreditation must be meaningful, yet manageable.